

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,126	12/05/2001	Akira Kubota	IPE-013	2011
20374 7.	590 04/03/2003			
KUBOVCIK & KUBOVCIK			EXAMINER	
SUITE 710 900 17TH STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			KILIMAN, LESZEK B	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
		DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 4							
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•* -	10/002,126	Kubota etal.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	L. Kiliman	1773					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	·					
	— · is action is non-final.	· }					
·-	• • •	researtion on to the morite in					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6) Claim(s) <u>/−32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accer	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☑All b) Some * c) None of:							
1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•	tion No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	ction Summary	Part of Paper No.					

Application/Control Number: 10/002,126

Art Unit: 1773

1. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, claims are not clear for the following reasons:

Term such as "a thermoplastic resin other than a polyester resin" is indefinite.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0985701.

The EP '701 reference disclose a polyester film substantially as claimed. The EP '701 discloses coarse projections within the claimed projections as well as compositions and properties of the polyester films. See description pages 1-7, Tables.

The applied EP '098701 does not specifically discloses the claimed two types of the coarse projections H1 and H2. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize such coarse projections of the polyester substrate, since such would improve magnetic properties of the multilayered magnetic media

Application/Control Number: 10/002,126

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Leszek Kiliman

at telephone number 703-308-2373.

L. Kiliman/mn March 18, 2003

A COUNTY OF THE COL

Page 3